

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWING

Fig. 2A is amended to include reference numeral 35 and lead line indicating the space enclosed by the package lid, as indicated by the Examiner on page 2 of the final Office action.

Fig. 2B is amended to include section line A-A' , which Fig. 2A refers to, to provide additional clarity to Fig. 2A, as discussed in the telephonic conference with the Examiner on November 30, 2006 and reiterated in the instant Office Action.

A Replacement Sheet of Figs. 2A, 2B, and 2C is attached.

### REMARKS

Claims 1-32 are pending. Claims 1-21 and 31 stand rejected. Claim 32 is allowed. The drawings are objected to. Claims 1-31 have been cancelled herein without prejudice. New claims 33-51 have been added.

### DRAWING OBJECTIONS

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR. § 1.83(a) because “they fail to show the section line A-A’ in Fig. 2B and the reference sign 35 in Fig. 2A as described in the amended specification” filed previously. A replacement sheet, containing the corrected drawings, is attached hereto.

### CLAIM REJECTIONS

#### 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant has cancelled Claim 31, rendering the rejection to be moot.

#### 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 3-5, 7-10, 15, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a,e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,654,248 to Fishley. Applicant continues to respectfully disagree with the rejection. However, in order to expedite allowance of the remaining claims, Applicant has cancelled Claims 1, 3-5, 7-10, 15, 19 and 21, making moot their rejection.

Claims 1, 3, 9-15, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,246,115 to Tang et al. Here, again, Applicant has cancelled Claims 1, 3-5, 7-10, 15, 19 and 21, making moot their rejection. Applicant has cancelled Claims 1, 3-5, 7-10, 15, 19 and 21, making moot their rejection.

35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 2, 6, 11-14, 16 and 17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fishley in view of Tang et al. However, the rejection is moot in light of the above-noted cancellations, and should be withdrawn.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER


Claim 32 is recognized as allowable. Applicant thanks the Examiner for this recognition of allowable subject matter. New dependent claims 33-51 have been added that depend from and further limit allowed Claim 32. New Claims 33-51 are analogous limitations to cancelled dependent claims 2-21 and no new matter is introduced by the entry of these new claims.

CONCLUSION

Claim 32 is allowed. Claims 1-31 have been cancelled herein without prejudice. Claims 33-51 have been added. No new matter is added by entry of these new claims.

Applicant submits that all remaining claims are now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and timely issuance of a Notice of Allowance are respectfully requested. Should the Examiner consider necessary or desirable any formal changes anywhere in the specification, claims, and/or drawings, then it is respectfully asked that such changes be made by an examiner's amendment, if the Examiner feels this would facilitate passage of the case to issuance. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned at (408) 879-6149.


Respectfully Submitted,



Michael R. Hardaway  
Attorney of Applicant  
Reg. No.: 52,992

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. BOX 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on October 22, 2007.

Julie Matthews  
Name

  
Signature